arXiv:1612.04097v1 [gr-qc] 13 Dec 2016

A short note on dynamics and degrees of freedom in $2d$ classical gravity

Corinne de Lacroix*[1] and Harold Erbin†[1]

[1]Cnrs, Lptens, École Normale Supérieure, F-75231 Paris, France

14th December 2016

Abstract

We provide a summary of the counting of degrees of freedom for classical $2d$ Einstein–Hilbert gravity coupled to non-conformal matter and we study some aspects of its dynamics. In particular we show that theories with only conformal matter generically have more degrees of freedom than theories with massive matter, whereas the usual common lore would give the opposite conclusion. This is due to the fact that the equations of motion of the metric are Weyl invariant even if the action is not invariant. We also give a proof that, for a large class of models, the solution of the equations of motion of unitary matter coupled to classical $2d$ gravity either yields constant matter fields or does not exist.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Classical two-dimensional gravity **2**

3 Degrees of freedom **4**

4 Dynamics of unitary matter **5**

A Quantum two-dimensional gravity **6**

B Analogy: four-dimensional gauge anomaly **8**
B.1 Massive vector field 8
B.2 Effective action 9

References **10**

*lacroix@lpt.ens.fr
†erbin@lpt.ens.fr

1 Introduction

Two-dimensional gravity has been a toy model for four-dimensional gravity since several decades: it presents many simplifications while – hopefully – retaining some characteristic features. Moreover two-dimensional gravity appears in the worldsheet formulation of string theory which provides a second incentive to study it.

Classical gravity is generically absent from the references about the previous two topics since it is deemed to be trivial and one is more interested in the quantum effects – in particular when the matter is conformal [1], which is the natural stage for string theory. Nonetheless the classical theory presents some peculiarities that have not been discussed elsewhere (to our knowledge) and we believe that it is useful to be aware of those. In particular one often blames theories for which the semi-classical limit of the quantized theory does not reproduce the classical theory, but one should recognize that two-dimensional gravity is such a theory.[1]

The goal of this paper is to discuss the degrees of freedom and the dynamics of two-dimensional gravity coupled to conformal and non-conformal matter. In the latter case gravity displays some particularities that one does not find in higher dimensions – and this is an important point to keep in mind when comparing two and four dimensions. More specifically we show that for a wide class of Lagrangians there are *less* degrees of freedom when the matter is massive (no Weyl symmetry). This is a consequence of the invariance of the equations of motion for the metric under the Weyl symmetry, even if the action itself is not invariant. The expected modifications due to the quantum dynamics are briefly commented. Then we discuss the fact that unitary matter coupled to gravity does not generically admit dynamics, using a set of scalar fields with an arbitrary (metric-independent) potential as an example. At best only a trivial solution is possible while in some cases there is no solution at all (in similarity with the well-known example of pure gravity with cosmological constant).

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we describe the coupling of conformal and non-conformal matter to classical two-dimensional gravity. Then in section 3 we count the degrees of freedom. Finally in section 4 we study the dynamics for a general model with scalar fields. In appendix A we make the connection with the quantum theory. Appendix B compares this discussion with the more familiar setting of a U(1) chiral gauge theory.

2 Classical two-dimensional gravity

In this section we review some general aspects of (classical) $2d$ gravity coupled to some matter ψ.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a 2-dimensional space with metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ with signature $(-+)$ and whose coordinates are denoted by σ^μ. The total action of the matter fields ψ coupled to $2d$ gravity is

$$S[g,\psi] = S_{\text{grav}}[g] + S_m[g,\psi] \tag{2.1}$$

where S_{grav} is the action for pure gravity. Only the case where the matter action $S_m[g,\psi]$ is obtained by making covariant the action $S_m[\eta,\psi]$ by minimal coupling ($\eta_{\mu\nu}$ being just the flat metric) is considered. The action is required to satisfy the following criteria: renormalizability, invariance under diffeomorphisms and no more than second order derivatives.

Weyl transformations, which correspond to rescaling of the metric

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \mathrm{e}^{2\omega(\sigma^\mu)} g'_{\mu\nu}, \tag{2.2}$$

will be a central element of the discussion. In general this transformation is not a symmetry of the action. A necessary condition for an action to be Weyl invariant is to be invariant

[1] The simplest trait of this being that renormalization introduces a cosmological constant even if the latter is forbidden at the classical level when the matter is conformal.

under conformal transformations in flat space [2].This condition is sufficient when the action is at most quadratic in the first derivative, which is the case for the models considered in this paper: hence we assume that the action for conformal matter on curved space is Weyl invariant.

The gravitational action is given by the sum of two terms

$$S_{\rm grav} = S_{\rm EH} + S_\mu \tag{2.3}$$

as it is well-known that only two invariants fulfil the above conditions. The first piece $S_{\rm EH}$ is the Einstein–Hilbert action

$$S_{\rm EH}[g] = \int \mathrm{d}^2\sigma\, \sqrt{|g|}\, R = 4\pi\chi, \qquad \chi = 2 - 2h, \tag{2.4}$$

which is a topological invariant in two dimensions and equal to the Euler number χ, h being the genus of the surface. As a consequence it is not dynamical (equivalently the Einstein tensor is identically zero) and it can be ignored as long as one is not interested in topological properties (which we are not). This action is also invariant under Weyl transformation (2.2). The second allowed term is the cosmological constant[2]

$$S_\mu[g] = \mu \int \mathrm{d}^2\sigma\, \sqrt{|g|} = \mu\, A[g] \tag{2.5}$$

where A is the area of $\mathcal{M}$ associated to the metric g. It is not invariant under Weyl transformations (2.2). The presence of this term has dramatic consequences in two dimensions, as will be exemplified in sections 3 and 4. In particular in the case of pure gravity the equation of motion reduces to $\mu = 0$ and it has no solution since μ is a fixed parameter of the model.

The classical equations of motion are given by varying the full action (2.1) with respect to $g_{\mu\nu}$ and ψ

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} = 0, \qquad \frac{\delta S}{\delta \psi} = 0. \tag{2.6}$$

Without specifying the action for the matter it is not possible to go further with the second equation. Nonetheless the first equation is dictating a lot of properties of the system and is responsible for its subtleties.

The energy–momentum tensors associated to S and S_m are defined by

$$T_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{|g|}} \frac{\delta S}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}, \qquad T^{(m)}_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{|g|}} \frac{\delta S_m}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}. \tag{2.7}$$

Then the metric equation of motion implies that the total energy–momentum tensor (2.7) vanishes

$$T_{\mu\nu} = T^{(m)}_{\mu\nu} + 2\pi\mu\, g_{\mu\nu} = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

These three independent equations provide constraints on the metric and matter fields since they do not contain derivatives of the metric (see section 3 for details on the counting of degrees of freedom). It is convenient to decompose the energy–momentum tensor into its trace T and its traceless components $\bar{T}_{\mu\nu}$

$$\bar{T}_{\mu\nu} \equiv T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\, T\, g_{\mu\nu}, \qquad T \equiv g^{\mu\nu} T_{\mu\nu}. \tag{2.9}$$

In terms of these variables the equation of motion (2.8) reads

$$T = T^{(m)} + 4\pi\mu = 0, \qquad \bar{T}_{\mu\nu} = \bar{T}^{(m)}_{\mu\nu} = 0. \tag{2.10}$$

[2]The cosmological constant can be either positive or negative. In the current convention $\mu > 0$ and $\mu < 0$ correspond respectively to anti-de Sitter and de Sitter.

An obvious advantage is that the cosmological constant has been decoupled from the traceless tensor, and more generally any matter potential that does not depend on the metric does not appear in $\bar{T}_{\mu\nu}$.

If $S_m[\eta, \psi]$ is conformally invariant, then the action $S_m[g, \psi]$ is Weyl invariant. In this case its energy–momentum tensor (2.7) is traceless $T^{(m)} = 0$. Then the trace of $T_{\mu\nu}$ reduces to the cosmological constant and gives the equation of motion $\mu = 0$. This equation has no solution and solutions for conformally invariant matter coupled to gravity can exist only in the absence of a cosmological constant. Then the equation of motion without cosmological constant is simply $T^{(m)}_{\mu\nu} = 0$ which provides two independent equations because it is symmetric and traceless.

3 Degrees of freedom

In this section we provide a counting of the degrees of freedom for a general model linear in the inverse metric. As we will show below, in this case the equations of motion for the metric are Weyl invariant even if the action is not, and as a consequence there are more constraints *without* the Weyl symmetry than with it. At the end we comment the general case.

In two dimensions the naive counting of on-shell degrees of freedom gives -1 because the system is over-constrained. In general a gauge invariance leads to two constraints, one from the gauge fixing condition and one from the equation of motion ensuring that the first one is preserved in time. In the present case the equations of motion are trivial and thus the second kind of constraint does not exist and then diffeomorphisms removes two of the three components of the metric. Moreover we have seen that gravity with a cosmological constant is inconsistent. Once this term is removed the action is invariant under Weyl transformations which allows to fix the last component and there is no on-shell degree of freedom.

When matter is present gravity reduces the number of degrees of freedom in 2 dimensions, as already illustrated above and as we will now discuss for general matter fields ψ with a total of N on-shell degrees of freedom (before coupling to gravity). The counting may seem useless in view of the absence of dynamics discussed in section 4 but it applies to more general situations (such as non-unitary matter).

Since the precise counting is sensitive to the form of the action we will restrict our attention to the simpler case when the matter Lagrangian is linear in the inverse metric (in particular it excludes couplings of the form $R\psi$).

$$S_m = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int \mathrm{d}^2\sigma\,\sqrt{|g|}\,\mathcal{L}, \qquad \mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}\big(g^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{L}_{\mu\nu}(\psi) + V(\psi)\big). \tag{3.1}$$

The first term is Weyl invariant because the transformation of $g^{\mu\nu}$ cancels the one of $\sqrt{|g|}$. The traceless and trace components of the energy–momentum tensor are

$$\bar{T}_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{L}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\,g_{\mu\nu}\big(g^{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}\big), \qquad T = -V + 4\pi\mu. \tag{3.2}$$

It can be seen that the traceless tensor is the energy–momentum tensor corresponding to the action S_m where all the parameters breaking Weyl invariance have been set to zero. As a consequence no dynamical component of the metric appears inside and the equation $\bar{T}_{\mu\nu} = 0$ gives two constraints on the matter. The trace is also Weyl invariant since it does not contain the metric and it provides another constraint for the matter. In total the number of on-shell degrees of freedom is reduced by three, giving $N - 3$. After using the diffeomorphisms, the metric has still one off-shell degree of freedom which should be fixed by the equations of motion of the scalar fields since they are not Weyl invariant.

If the matter action is Weyl invariant, then the trace equation is removed and there is one constraint less, giving $N-2$ on-shell degrees of freedom. As in the case of pure gravity the last off-shell metric component is fixed by a Weyl transformation.

The conclusion is that even if Weyl invariance is not a symmetry of the action it is a symmetry of the equations of motion for $g_{\mu\nu}$. This is similar to the electric-magnetic duality except that the matter equations of motion are breaking this duality. It might be surprising to have a system where adding a gauge symmetry *increases* the number of degrees of freedom, whereas the usual lore is that a gauge symmetry describes a redundancy. This behaviour is very peculiar to two-dimensional gravity because gravity provides constraints together with degrees of freedom. The metric components act as Lagrange multipliers and the Weyl symmetry removes one of those, which implies that one less constraint will be imposed.

4 Dynamics of unitary matter

The goal of this section is to show that a large class of models of unitary matter coupled to gravity has no dynamics. It is well-known that the energy–momentum tensor can be rewritten as a sum of squares for free scalar fields, which implies that each term vanishes independently. There is a difference between conformal and non-conformal matter since the cosmological constant and the additional potential may provide a negative term in $T_{\mu\nu}$. In fact, using the decomposition (2.10), the equations in both cases can be brought into a similar form and in some cases the behaviour of systems with a cosmological constant is even worse.

We will illustrate this using N scalar fields X_i with a potential $V(X_i)$

$$S_m = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\int \mathrm{d}^2\sigma\,\sqrt{|g|}\,\left(g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu X_i\partial_\nu X_i + V(X_i)\right), \qquad i=1,\dots,N, \tag{4.1}$$

where the sum over the index i is implicit and some of the X_i may not appear in the potential. This potential does not contain any constant term which would just correspond to a shift of the cosmological constant. The equations of motion for the metric and the matter are

$$T_{\mu\nu}=0, \qquad -\Delta X_i + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial V}{\partial X_i}=0 \tag{4.2}$$

where Δ is the curved space Laplacian for the metric g

$$\Delta = g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}}\left(\partial_\mu\sqrt{|g|}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu\right). \tag{4.3}$$

Then the trace and the traceless part of the energy–momentum tensor read

$$T=-V+4\pi\mu, \qquad \bar{T}_{\mu\nu}=\partial_\mu X_i\partial_\nu X_i - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}(g^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha X_i\partial_\beta X_i). \tag{4.4}$$

One can see that $\bar{T}_{\mu\nu}$ looks like the energy–momentum tensor of N free scalar fields and one can use the usual strategy to solve the associated equation. First one uses the diffeomorphisms to write the metric in the flat conformal gauge

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \mathrm{e}^{2\phi}\eta_{\mu\nu}. \tag{4.5}$$

Writing explicitly the components of $\bar{T}_{\mu\nu}$ one arrives at the equation

$$2(\bar{T}_{00}\pm\bar{T}_{01}) = (\partial_0 X_i \pm \partial_1 X_i)^2 = 0. \tag{4.6}$$

Since this is a sum of squares the only solution is the one where all terms vanish

$$(\partial_0 \pm \partial_1)X_i = 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \partial_\mu X_i = 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad X_i = X_i^0 = \text{cst}. \tag{4.7}$$

In the conformal gauge the matter equation (4.2) and the trace equation give the following constraints on the values of X_0^i

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial X_i}(X_i^0) = 0, \qquad V(X_i^0) = 4\pi\mu. \tag{4.8}$$

It can be noted that no equation allows to fix the value of ϕ.

The previous computation shows that unitary matter coupled to $2d$ gravity does not have any dynamics since the solutions of the equations of motion is given by the trivial solution $X_i = \text{cst}$ (with some constraints), even in the presence of interactions (if the potential does not contain the metric). The constraints provided by the vanishing of the trace are too strong and kill all the dynamics (this is not the case for matter without gravity, whether the backgroud is curved or not). Note that this argument does not apply to the X^μ fields of bosonic string theory since X^0 is a timelike boson.

Finally in order to give a specific example and to stress the difference between conformal and non-conformal matter, we consider free massive scalar fields

$$V(X_i) = \sum_i m_i^2 X_i^2 \tag{4.9}$$

where some of the masses can vanish. Then the first equation of (4.8) implies $X_i^0 = 0$ if $m_i \neq 0$. Introducing this into the second equation of (4.8) gives $\mu = 0$ and there is no solution at all. Hence the behaviour is worse if one includes a cosmological constant and non-conformal matter fields since the system of equations is not even consistent, while for conformal matter there is at least the trivial solution $X_i = \text{cst}$ (with the obvious condition that one did not include the cosmological constant).

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Costas Bachas, Tresa Bautista, Antoine Bourget and Atish Dabholkar for useful discussions. We are particularly grateful to Adel Bilal for carefully reading the draft of the manuscript.

A Quantum two-dimensional gravity

In this appendix we make contact between the classical and quantum regimes of gravity for the counting of the degrees of freedom.

The metric becomes dynamical due to quantum effects. It is convenient to write the metric in the conformal gauge using the diffeomorphisms

$$g = \mathrm{e}^{2\phi} g_0 \tag{A.1}$$

where ϕ is the Liouville mode and g_0 is a fixed background metric. Indeed in this gauge the dynamics of the metric and of the matter decouples and both sectors are mixed only through constraints. Since the physical metric is left invariant under the transformation

$$g_0 = \mathrm{e}^{2\omega} g_0', \qquad \phi = \phi' - \omega, \tag{A.2}$$

it means that the system presents an "emerging" Weyl symmetry which is necessary to ensure that there is only one off-shell degree of freedom (three from g_0 and one from ϕ, minus two

from diffeomorphisms and one from this emerging symmetry). Note that this emerging Weyl symmetry is not fundamental since it is very specific to the conformal gauge, at the opposite of Weyl symmetry (2.2): this last symmetry (when it exists) can be used in any gauge and truly reduce the total number of off-shell degrees of freedom, whereas the emerging Weyl is here only not to spoil the counting due to the redundant notation. In particular any action should have this invariance in order to be background independent.

The total partition function is

$$Z = \int \mathrm{d}_g g_{\mu\nu}\ \mathrm{e}^{-S_\mu[g]} Z_m[g], \qquad Z_m[g] = \int \mathrm{d}_g \psi\ \mathrm{e}^{-S_m[g,\psi]} \tag{A.3}$$

where Z_m is the partition function of the matter and the index g on the measure indicates that it depends on the metric. Upon gauge fixing to (A.1) one writes the partition function as (we ignore the ghosts since they can be dropped inside the matter and ultimately we are only interested in classical solutions for which they vanish)

$$Z = \int \mathrm{d}_g \phi\ \mathrm{e}^{-S_\mu[g_0,\phi] - S_{\mathrm{grav}}[g_0,\phi]} Z_m[g_0] \tag{A.4}$$

where

$$S_{\mathrm{grav}}[g_0,\phi] = -\ln \frac{Z_m[\mathrm{e}^{2\phi} g_0]}{Z_m[g_0]}. \tag{A.5}$$

where $S_{\mathrm{grav}}[g_0,\phi]$ is the Wess–Zumino effective action coming from changing the metric in the matter partition function from g to g_0. Typically the leading terms are the Liouville action and the Mabuchi action [3, 4]. The total action is then

$$S_*[g_0,\phi,\psi] = S_\mu[g_0,\phi] + S_{\mathrm{grav}}[g_0,\phi] + S_m[g_0,\psi]. \tag{A.6}$$

When the matter is conformal the gravitational action can be computed by parametrizing the metric as $g = \mathrm{e}^{2\omega} g_0$ and by integrating the trace of the quantum energy–momentum tensor over ω from 0 to ϕ. The latter is given by the Weyl anomaly (quantities with a subscript 0 are given in terms of the metric g_0)

$$\langle T^m \rangle = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{g}} \frac{\delta}{\delta\omega} \ln Z_m[g] = -\frac{c_m}{12} R. \tag{A.7}$$

Using the relation $R = R_0 - 2\Delta_0\omega$ and integrating, the action (A.5) becomes the Liouville action

$$S_{\mathrm{grav}} = -\frac{c_m}{24\pi} \int \mathrm{d}^2\sigma\ \sqrt{g_0} \big(g_0^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu\phi \partial_\nu\phi + R_0\phi \big) \tag{A.8}$$

(remember that the ghosts are included into the matter: they have $c_g = -26$ and thus the coefficient of the action is positive as long as the central charge of the rest of the matter is less than 26).

Studying the action (A.6) as a classical action with background metric g_0 will give informations about the semi-classical properties of the theory. In section 4 we have argued that classical $2d$ gravity with unitary matter is trivial, but this does not imply that the quantum behaviour is also trivial and that forbidden classical systems have no quantum dynamics. As a specific example of this fact, consider Liouville theory: classically the cosmological constant is forbidden, but it is necessary to include it in order to compute the path integral (in any case it is a consistent counter-term and it will appear anyway). In particular the semi-classical limit does not resemble the classical gravity one started from: the quantum effects are not negligible in this limit and make the dynamics non-trivial.

Invariance under the emergent Weyl symmetry (A.2) links the trace of the energy–momentum tensor $T^*_{0\mu\nu}$ to the variation of the full action with respect to the Liouville mode

$$T^*_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\delta S_*}{\delta \phi}. \tag{A.9}$$

Another requirement is the one of background independence: the full action (A.6) should be independent of the gauge choice (A.1), i.e. it should not depend on the background metric g_0 or on the specific decomposition. This is true in particular if the variation of the total action with respect to g_0 vanishes, which implies that the full stress–energy tensor is zero

$$T^*_{0\mu\nu} = 0. \tag{A.10}$$

From (A.9) the trace equation is automatically satisfied if ϕ satisfies its equation of motion: as a consequence (A.10) provides two constraints on the matter and this number does not depend on whether the matter is conformal or massive.

Finally the variations of (A.6) give decoupled equations of motion for ϕ and ψ. As a conclusion one sees that quantum effects have given dynamics to the Liouville field and there is a total of $N-1$ degrees of freedom (matter plus gravity).

B Analogy: four-dimensional gauge anomaly

There exists a full analogy between the description of $2d$ gravity and $4d$ chiral gauge theory, for example one finds the emergence of a gauge symmetry (respectively Weyl and U(1)) through the choice of a convenient parametrization and the appearance of a Wess–Zumino action.

B.1 Massive vector field

Let's consider a massive vector field $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ (playing the role of $g_{\mu\nu}$) with Proca action (in d dimensions)

$$S_A = -\int \mathrm{d}^d x \left(\frac{1}{4}\,\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{m^2}{2}\mathcal{A}_\mu\mathcal{A}^\mu\right). \tag{B.1}$$

If $m^2 = 0$ then it enjoys a U(1) gauge symmetry

$$\mathcal{A}_\mu = \mathcal{A}'_\mu + \partial_\mu \alpha, \tag{B.2}$$

which reduces the d components to $(d-1)$ off-shell dofs, and furthermore to $(d-2)$ on-shell dofs. If $m^2 \neq 0$ then there is one (on-shell) constraint and thus there are only $(d-1)$ on-shell degrees of freedom. In full similarity with $2d$ gravity matter terms can be invariant under this U(1) or not.

It is convenient to adopt another parametrization (called Stückelberg) for the vector field where the spin 0 component is separated from the spin 1 component

$$\mathcal{A}_\mu = A_\mu + \partial_\mu a \tag{B.3}$$

where A_μ and a (called the axion) play respectively the roles of $g_{0\mu\nu}$ and ϕ (from the point of view of Lorentz representations, the trace of $g_{\mu\nu}$ is similar to the divergence of $\mathcal{A}_\mu$), the only difference being that A_μ is dynamical. In these variables the action reads

$$S_A = -\int \mathrm{d}^d x \left(\frac{1}{4}\,F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{m^2}{2}(A_\mu + \partial_\mu a)(A^\mu + \partial^\mu a)\right). \tag{B.4}$$

In order to avoid introducing an additional degree of freedom (A_μ has d components and a has 1) this system should be invariant under an emergent $\mathrm{U}(1)_e$

$$A_\mu = A'_\mu + \partial_\mu \alpha, \qquad a = a' - \alpha. \tag{B.5}$$

Note that this symmetry is not fundamental and is just a consequence of the parametrization (B.3) that has been chosen. The interpretation is slightly different from $2d$ gravity since another gauge symmetry (diffeomorphisms) is used to gauge fix the metric, whereas in the current setup (B.3) is a parametrization.

B.2 Effective action

For the rest of this section we focus on $d = 4$. The action for the system is given by

$$S[\mathcal{A}, \psi] = S_A[\mathcal{A}] + S_f[\mathcal{A}, \psi] \tag{B.6}$$

where S_A is Proca action (B.1) and S_f is the action for a chiral fermion ψ (the dependence on the conjugate $\bar\psi$ is implicit everywhere)

$$S_f = \int \mathrm{d}^4x \, i\bar\psi \not{\mathrm{D}} \psi, \qquad \mathrm{D}_\mu = \partial_\mu - igA_\mu. \tag{B.7}$$

This model is discussed for example in [5]. Since the fermion is chiral it obeys the relations $\psi = \gamma_5 \psi = P_L \psi$ where P_L is the projector on left-handed chirality. The partition function of the system is

$$Z = \int \mathrm{d}\mathcal{A}_\mu \, \mathrm{e}^{-S_A[\mathcal{A}]} \, Z_f[\mathcal{A}], \qquad Z_f[\mathcal{A}] = \int \mathrm{d}\psi \, \mathrm{e}^{-S_f[\mathcal{A},\psi]} \tag{B.8}$$

where Z_f is the fermion partition function. The terms S_A and Z_f plays respectively the roles of S_μ and Z_m in (A.3).

In terms of the parametrization (B.3) the partition function becomes (we ignore the ghost action since it decouples)

$$Z = \int \mathrm{d}A_\mu \mathrm{d}a \, \mathrm{e}^{-S_A[A,a] - S_{\mathrm{WZ}}[A,a]} \, Z_f[A] \tag{B.9}$$

where the Wess–Zumino (WZ) action is

$$S_{\mathrm{WZ}}[A, a] = -\ln \frac{Z_f[A + \partial a]}{Z_f[A]}. \tag{B.10}$$

The main difference with $2d$ gravity is that $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ is dynamical and it does not drop from the path integral, but this does not modify the general argument.

While the full action is not invariant U(1) if $m^2 \neq 0$, the fermion action is invariant under the transformation $\psi = \mathrm{e}^{ig\alpha(x)}\psi'$ together with (B.2), and the associated current $J^\mu = \bar\psi \gamma^\mu \psi$ is conserved classically. On the other hand there is a gauge anomaly due to the chirality of the theory and the current is not conserved quantum mechanically

$$\partial_\mu \langle J^\mu \rangle = \frac{g^3}{48\pi^2} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mu\nu}, \qquad \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \mathcal{F}_{\rho\sigma}. \tag{B.11}$$

This can be used to determine the S_{WZ} in full similarity with the derivation of the Liouville action (A.8). The quantum current is the variation of the effective action (B.8) with respect to the gauge field

$$\langle J^\mu \rangle = -\frac{\delta}{\delta \mathcal{A}_\mu} \ln Z_f[\mathcal{A}]. \tag{B.12}$$

Then one can vary from $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ to A_μ continuously by parametrizing $\mathcal{A}_\mu = A_\mu + \partial_\mu \alpha$. From the variation $\delta \mathcal{A}_\mu = \partial_\mu \alpha$, the relation (B.12) can be integrated from $\alpha = 0$ to $\alpha = a$ which results in (after an integration by part)

$$S_{\mathrm{WZ}}[A, a] = -\int \mathrm{d}^4x\, a\, \partial_\mu \langle J^\mu \rangle = -\frac{g^3}{48\pi^2} \int \mathrm{d}^4x\, a\, F_{\mu\nu} \widetilde{F}^{\mu\nu}, \tag{B.13}$$

where the expression (B.11) and the identity $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = F_{\mu\nu}$ have been used. This computation is possible because the mass term is outside the matter path integral which is effectively gauge invariant (classically), and in particular the anomalous contribution comes from the fermion measure (which does not depend on the mass). This mirrors $2d$ gravity where the cosmological constant lies outside the matter path integral, the latter being Weyl invariant.

The total action in the parametrization (B.3) reads

$$S[A, a, \psi] = S_A[A, a] + S_{\mathrm{WZ}}[A, a] + S_f[A, \psi]. \tag{B.14}$$

This action should be invariant under the emergent $\mathrm{U}(1)_e$; in particular this implies that the variation of the Wess–Zumino (WZ) term S_{WZ} is exactly the one necessary for cancelling the gauge anomaly of the fermion action *in terms of the field* A_μ (the anomaly related to U(1) is still present). Note also that the fermions and the axion are not coupled to each other, in the same way that the matter and the Liouville mode do not couple in $2d$ gravity.

Another point where the analysis differs from $2d$ gravity is in the effect of the anomaly-generated term: the latter does not provide dynamics for the axion and the kinetic term for the latter comes from the mass term. On the other hand one cannot start from $m^2 = 0$ since such a mass term would be generated at 1-loop from the cubic WZ vertex and the tree mass term is necessary to remove the divergence. A similar story holds for the cosmological constant in $2d$ gravity.

References

[1] A. M. Polyakov. 'Quantum Geometry of Bosonic Strings'. *Physics Letters B* 103.3 (July 1981), pp. 207–210.
DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(81)90743-7.

[2] A. Iorio, L. O'Raifeartaigh, I. Sachs and C. Wiesendanger. 'Weyl-Gauging and Conformal Invariance'. *Nuclear Physics B* 495.1-2 (June 1997), pp. 433–450.
DOI: 10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00190-9.
arXiv: hep-th/9607110.

[3] F. Ferrari, S. Klevtsov and S. Zelditch. 'Gravitational Actions in Two Dimensions and the Mabuchi Functional'. *Nuclear Physics B* 859.3 (June 2012), pp. 341–369.
DOI: 10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2012.02.003.
arXiv: 1112.1352.

[4] A. Bilal and L. Leduc. '2D Quantum Gravity on Compact Riemann Surfaces with Non-Conformal Matter' (June 2016).
arXiv: 1606.01901 [hep-th].

[5] J. Preskill. 'Gauge Anomalies in an Effective Field Theory'. *Annals of Physics* 210.2 (Sept. 1991), pp. 323–379.
DOI: 10.1016/0003-4916(91)90046-B.